Rachel Bonner, a minor, has not been served.

MetLife, Keri Bonner and Damon Bonner have been served and have appeared.

Plaintiff has been served with MetLife's cross-complaint.

2. Facts

Leslie Bonner claims to be entitled to life insurance proceeds from the death of Fredrick Bonner as his surviving spouse.

Fredrick Bonner was the father of Keri Bonner, Damon Bonner, both adults and Rachel Bonner, a minor.

On August 13, 2006, Fredrick Bonner was served with a complaint for dissolution, and a summons containing certain automatic temporary restraining orders, including not changing the designation of any beneficiaries on insurance policies.

Keri and Damon Bonner claim that on November 27, 2006, Fredrick Bonner obtained a new insurance policy and designated Keri, Damon and Rachel as beneficiaries. Fredrick died May 29, 2007.

MetLife has received conflicting claims for the disputed life insurance benefits, and in light of the adverse claimants' inability to reach a resolution of their dispute, has filed its cross-complaint in interpleader to permit the Court to take control of the funds and resolve the dispute.

3. <u>Legal Issues</u>

đ

The complaint seeks a declaration from the court regarding the plaintiff's entitlement to the life insurance proceeds.

The complaint also seeks to establish a constructive trust.

MetLife has filed a cross-complaint in interpleader, pursuant to 28 U.S.C Section 1335 and FRCP 22, seeking an order permitting it to deposit the disputed funds and for an order discharging MetLife, as well as the plan sponsor and the life insurance plan.

4. Motions

None.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5. Amendment of Pleadings

None anticipated at this time.

6. Evidence Preservation

Not an issue. All evidence is preserved.

7. Disclosures

There have been no disclosures made at this time.

8. Discovery

None to date.

Document production and some interrogatories anticipated. Few if any depositions anticipated.

MetLife contends that no discovery is appropriate as between it and other parties, because all parties rights and potential remedies are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq., and the issues will be decided on the basis of the administrative record. See Taft v. Equitable Life Assurance Society, 9 F.3d 1469, 1470 (9th Cir. 1993). MetLife will, however, provide a copy of the administrative record and plan documents as its initial disclosure.

9. Class Action

Not applicable.

10. Related Cases

None.

11. Relief

Leslie Bonner seeks a declaration by the court on entitlement to the proceeds. Establish a constructive trust.

Defendants Keri and Damon Bonner seek distribution of the proceeds pursuant to the November 27, 2006, beneficiary designation.

27

:	
	Metropolitan Life seeks the parties' stipulation for deposit of the funds with
the Court, ar	nd for discharge with prejudice as to itself, the plan and the plan sponsor.
Should the parties fail to so stipulate, MetLife will move for an order granting such relief.	
12.	Settlement and ADR
	The parties intend to mediate the case with sixty (60) days.
13.	Consent to Magistrate Richard Seeborg
	MetLife does not consent to proceed before a magistrate judge, and has
filed its notice of declination to so proceed.	
	The remaining parties consent to Magistrate Richard Seeborg for all
purposes.	
14.	Other References
ì	The parties do not believe reference to binding arbitration, a special
master of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation is appropriate.	
15.	Narrowing of Issues
÷	Not necessary.
16.	Expedited Schedule
Ž.	Not requested at this time.
17.	Scheduling
,	As set forth above, MetLife does not agree that discovery is appropriate as

As set forth above, MetLife does not agree that discovery is appropriate as between itself and other parties.

The other parties suggest the following schedule:

Expert Designation: October 31, 2008

Discovery Cut off: December 31, 2008

Dispositive Motions: February 20, 2009

Pre-Trial Conference: March 6, 2009

Trial: March 23, 2009

18. <u>Trial</u>